positive was defined as ER/PR positivity. Breast cancer were calssified into different molecular subtypes as follows: Luminal A (HR+/HER2−), Luminal B (HR+/HER2+), Triple-negative (HR−/HER2−) and HER2 positive (HR−/HER2+) subtype. 11 candidate molecular biomarkers including Tau, β-Tubulin III, PTEN, MAP4, Thioredoxin, MDR1, Ki67, p53, Bcl-2, BAX and ERCC1 were detected by IHC in pre-NCT core needle biopsy specimens and analyzed the relationship between these markers and pCR. Logistic Regression Models including routine clinical, pathological markers and candidate molecular markers in various combinations were built to compare different predictive accuracy of models for predicting pCR.

Results: 91 patients had available core needle biopsy specimens for evaluation, and 18 patients achieved pCR with pCR rate 19.8%. Univariate analysis showed that ER, PR, molecular classification (clinicopathological markers) and Tauβ-Tubulin III?p53?Bcl-2?ERCC1 (candidate molecular markers) were associated with pCR; Multivariable analyze revealed that β-Tubulin III, Bcl-2 and ERCC1 were independent pCR predictive markers, β-Tubulin III-negative, Bcl-2-negative or ERCC1-negative was associated with higher pCR rate, with OR 6.03 (95% CI, 1.44–25.24, $P = 0.014$), 7.54 (95% CI, 1.52–37.40, $P = 0.013$) and 4.09 (95% CI, 1.17–14.30, $P = 0.028$), respectively. To compare different Logistic Regression Models built with different combination of these variables, we found the model including routine clinical, pathological and β-Tubulin III, Bcl-2, ERCC1 these three candidate molecular markers had highest predictive power, area under ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic, ROC) curve was 0.900 (95% CI, 0.831–0.968).

Conclusion: β-Tubulin III, Bcl-2 and ERCC1 were independent pCR predictive factors among breast cancer patients treated with weekly PCb regimen as NCT. Patients with β-Tubulin III-negative, Bcl-2-negative or ERCC1-negative tumors had a higher pCR rate. Model integrating routine clinical, pathological and β-Tubulin III, Bcl-2, ERCC1 candidate molecular markers had highest predictive power of predicting the pCR rate of this weekly PCb regimen.

105 Poster discussion

Association of the germline MDM2 SNP309 and TP53 R72P variants with breast cancer survival in specific tumour subgroups

A.J. van den Broek[1], A. Broeks[2], H. Horlings[2], L.M. Braaf[2], L.J. Van 't Veer[3], M.K. Schmidt[4]. *[1]Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Ziekenhuis, Psycho Social Research and Epidemiology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; [2]Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Ziekenhuis, Experimental Therapy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; [3]Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Ziekenhuis, Pathology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; [4]Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Ziekenhuis, Psycho Social Research and Epidemiology and Experimental Therapy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

Germline and somatic mutations in genes such as those of the "DNA damage response pathways" are associated with development of specific tumor subtypes and influence breast cancer outcome. One of those pathways includes the tumor suppressor gene *TP53* and its regulator *MDM2*. Interaction between *TP53* germline mutation status and *MDM2* SNP309 carriership is known to accelerate tumor development. Moreover, somatic inactivation of the *TP53* gene in breast tumors is related to a poor prognosis. Here we inverstigated wether common germline polymorphisms in genes of the 'TP53 response pathway' might affect breast cancer outcome. In particular we evaluated their contribution to the prognosis of tumors that harbor specific additional somatic changes. We determined the effect of the germline *TP53* R72P and *MDM2* SNP309 polymorphisms on breast cancer survival in distinct tumor subgroups in a consecutive cohort of breast cancer patients (age at diagnosis <53 years, n = 295). Tumors were classified in subgroups according to *TP53* somatic mutation status (n = 209) (wild type (including silent mutations) versus missense and non-missense mutations) or the 70-gene prognostic (good versus poor prognosis) profile (n = 295). Analyses were performed using Cox regression models adjusting for clinico-pathological characteristics and treatment. A decreased breast cancer-specific survival was found for carriers of the germline *MDM2* SNP309 GG genotype compared to those carrying the common TT genotype, only within those patients having *TP53* mutated tumors (HR 3.35 (95% CI: 1.02–11.0) compared to *TP53* wild type tumors: HR 1.01 (0.31–3.29)). Additionally the same effect was seen within those patients with a poor prognosis profile tumors (HR 2.39 (1.21–4.73) compared to the good prognosis profile tumors: HR 0.55 (0.10–3.22)).

A similar trend was seen for carriers of the germline *TP53* R72P GC genotype versus those carrying the common GG genotype (*TP53* mutated tumors compared to *TP53* wildtype tumors: HR 2.01 (0.87–4.64) and HR 1.16 (0.53–2.54); poor compared to good prognosis profile tumors: HR 1.57 (0.98–2.51) and HR 0.33 (0.08–1.37)). These results support our hypothesis and are in line with the available biological evidence. Common polymorphisms in specific pathways in combination with somatic changes (in the same pathway) in the tumor may become of importance in predicting prognosis of breast cancer patients.

106 Poster discussion

Locoregional recurrence after breast conserving therapy remains an independent prognostic factor even after an event free interval of ten years in early stage breast cancer

J.A. van der Hage[1], J.S.D. Mieog[2], M. van de Vijver[3], H. Bartelink[4], H. Putter[5], C.J.H. van de Velde[2]. *[1]The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Surgery, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; [2]Leiden University Medical Center, Surgery, Leiden, The Netherlands; [3]Academic Medical Center Amsterdam, Pathology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; [4]The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Radiotherapy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; [5]Leiden University Medical Center, Department of Statistics, Leiden The Netherlands.*

Background: Locoregional recurrence after breast-conserving therapy is a well-known independent risk factor associated with unfavourable long-term outcome. Controversy exists however of the prognostic impact of a locoregional recurrence after a very long event free interval.

Materials and Methods: Data were pooled from 4 EORTC Breast Group trials, which accrued patients with early stage breast cancer. Only locoregional recurrences as first event were taken into account. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were conducted using locoregional recurrence as a time-dependent variable in a landmark analysis to study the independent prognostic impact of locoregional recurrence on long-term outcome after different event-free time intervals. Long-term outcome was defined as distant disease-free survival and overall survival. Three different landmark analyses were undertaken. One analysis including all breast conserved patients, one analysis that included only patients with an event-free interval of at least 5 years, and one analysis including patients who were event-free for at least 10 years after primary diagnosis.

Results: In total, 7749 early stage breast cancer patients who underwent breast-conserving therapy were included. At time of the analysis, the median follow up was 10.9 years. In the multivariate analysis, including all patients, locoregional recurrence, tumour size, nodal status, young age, oestrogen receptor status and chemotherapy remained independent prognostic factors with a significant impact on long-term outcome. Locoregional recurrence was the strongest prognostic factor for overall survival (HR 5.07 95% CI 4.37–5.88, P < 0.01) and distant disease-free survival (HR 5.24, 95% CI 4.50–6.10, P < 0.01). In the multivariate analysis including patients that had an event-free interval of at least 5 years, locoregional recurrence remained the strongest independent prognostic factor for overall survival (HR 3.69, 95% CI 2.64–5.19, P < 0.01) and distant disease-free survival (HR 3.87, 95% CI 2.84–5.27, P < 0.01). In patient that were event free f more than over ten years after primary treatment, locoregional recurrence remained the only independent prognostic factor with a significant impact on both distant-disease free survival (HR 4.08, 95% CI 1.25–13.27, P 0.02) and overall survival (HR 8.38, 95% CI 2.54–27.63, P < 0.01).

Conclusions: Locoregional recurrence after breast-conserving therapy is a very strong time dependent independent factor for long term outcome even after a very long event-free interval in early stage breast cancer patients. These findings suggest that even after a long event free interval, locoregional recurrence seems to be associated with distant disease rather than a cause of subsequent distant disease.

107 Poster discussion

Comparison of Adjuvant! Online prediction with 10-year follow-up results according to the uPA and PAI-1 levels in Slovenian early breast cancer patients

M. Ravnik[1], N. Snoj[2], A. Sadikov[3], P. Nussdorfer[4], T. Cufer[5]. *[1]University Center Maribor, Department of Oncology On Gynecology, Maribor, Slovenia; [2]Institute of Oncology, Medical Oncology Department, Ljubljana, Slovenia; [3]University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Computer and Information Science, Ljubljana, Slovenia; [4]Institute of Ljubljana, Medical Oncology Department, Ljubljana, Slovenia; [5]Clinical Hospital Golnik, Medical Oncology Department, Ljubljana, Slovenia*

Background: Adjuvant! Online is very useful tool for prognosis assessment of early breast cancer (EBC) patients. It is very consistent for the low risk patient according to the classical clinicopathological criteria (eg. small tumors, node negative, grade 1−2), as it was presented in our previous work. uPA and PAI-1 are level 1 prognostic markers in EBC and when high they characterize poor prognosis.

Methods: 753 EBC patients diagnosed and treated in the Institute of Oncology from 1996–1999 and with 10-year follow-up were included into the study. The basic clinical-pathological characteristic were assessed for each patient and entered into the Adjuvant! Online (Version 8.0) to calculate estimated 10-year OS. uPA and PAI-1 levels were measured routinely using ELISAs (American Diagnostica Inc.; CT) in tumor tissue extracts